



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,021	11/05/2001	Richard P. Welty	270-3038-U	8522
26096	7590	10/19/2004		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009				
			EXAMINER PIZIALI, ANDREW T	
			ART UNIT 1771	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/007,021	WELTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew T Piziali	1771	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 22-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 22-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 9/17/2004 has been entered. The examiner has withdrawn the 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 28 and 29 based on the amendment to claims 28 and 29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 22-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 5,879,532 to Foster et al. (hereinafter referred to as Foster).

Regarding claims 22-53, Foster discloses an article having on at least a portion of a surface a coating, said article comprising a substrate, a nickel layer, a strike layer consisting essentially of zirconium, titanium or zirconium-titanium alloy directly contacting the nickel layer, and an outer later consisting essentially of zirconium compound, titanium compound, or zirconium-titanium alloy compound directly contacting the strike layer, and the outer layer is uncoated (see entire document including column 1, lines 10-24, column 3, lines 55-63, and column 6, line 42 through column 7, line 11).

Regarding claims 23-27, 33-37, 44, 46, 48 and 52, Foster discloses that the compound may be a carbide, oxide, nitride, or a carbonitride (column 6, line 65 through column 7, line 11).

Art Unit: 1771

Regarding claims 28-29, 32-41 and 48-53, Foster discloses that the article may be aluminum or zinc (column 3, lines 55-63).

Regarding claims 30, 32-41 and 48-53, Foster discloses that the strike layer may have a thickness between 0.25 millionths of an inch and 50 millionths of an inch (column 8, lines 22-33).

Regarding claim 31 and 40, Foster discloses that the outer layer may have a thickness between about 2 millionths of an inch and about 30 millionths of an inch (column 8, lines 53-65).

Regarding claims 38 and 42, Foster discloses that the article may be a faucet (column 1, lines 10-24).

Regarding claims 39 and 43, Foster discloses that the article may be a doorknob (claim 56).

4. Claims 39 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,879,532 to Foster as applied to claims 22-53 above, and further in view of USPN 5,759,677 to Fink.

Fink discloses that faucet coatings may be used for doorknobs (column 1, lines 7-17). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the substrate a doorknob, as disclosed by Fink, because a doorknob is a piece of door hardware that requires corrosion resistance and a desired color.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/17/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant asserts that Foster does not teach or suggest an article having a nickel layer,

Art Unit: 1771

a strike layer consisting essentially of zirconium, titanium, or zirconium-titanium alloy that directly contacts the nickel layer, and an uncoated outer layer consisting essentially of zirconium compound, titanium compound, and zirconium-titanium alloy compound that directly contacts the strike layer. The applicant asserts that Foster does not read on the current claims because Foster necessarily includes at least one of a sandwich layer (26), a reaction products layer (34), or a metal oxide layer (36). The examiner respectfully disagrees.

The inclusion of the sandwich layer (26) is simply one embodiment of the invention disclosed by Foster (see column 9, lines 6-20 and dependent claim 12). The inclusion of the reaction products layer (34) is simply one embodiment of the invention disclosed by Foster (see column 10, lines 45-57 and dependent claim 15). The inclusion of the metal oxide layer (36) is also simply one embodiment of the invention disclosed by Foster (see column 11, lines 15-27 and dependent claim 14). Layers (26), (34), and (36) are clearly optional additional layers that may be added to the inventive article of Foster. The invention disclosed by Foster, without the additional optional layers, reads on the current claims.

The applicant is directed to claim 1 of Foster. Foster does not mention a sandwich layer (26), a reaction products layer (34), or a metal oxide layer (36). Claim 1 of Foster discloses an article having an electroplated layer (13) (claim 2 specifically teaches nickel), at least one layer (22) selected from the group consisting of refractory metal and refractory metal alloy on at least a portion of the electroplated layer (claim 3 specifically teaches zirconium, titanium, or a zirconium-titanium alloy), and at least one layer (32) selected from the group consisting of refractory metal compound and refractory metal alloy compound (claim 6 specifically teaches zirconium compound, titanium compound, or zirconium-titanium alloy compound).

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

atp

978 10/14/04

ANDREW T. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINER



Ms. Arti R. Singh
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700